

(Def<sup>n</sup>) Eigenvector or Characteristic vector: - Let  $T$  be an operator on a Hilbert Space  $H$ . A non-zero vector  $x \in H$  is said to be an Eigenvector  $T$ , if  $Tx = \lambda x$  for some scalar  $\lambda$ .

(Def<sup>n</sup>) Eigenvalue: - If  $T$  be an operator on a Hilbert Space  $H$ . A scalar  $\lambda$  for which  $Tx = \lambda x$  where  $x$  be a non-zero vector of  $H$  is known as Eigenvalue or Characteristic value of  $T$ .

(Def<sup>n</sup>) Eigenspace: - Let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of an operator  $T$  on a non-zero Hilbert Space  $H$ .

Let  $M = \{x : x \in H \& (T - \lambda I)x = 0\}$  i.e.  $Tx = \lambda Ix = 0$   
i.e.  $Tx - \lambda x = 0$  i.e.  $Tx = \lambda x$ .

$M$  is said to be the ~~eigenvector~~ eigenspace of  $T$  corresponding to  $\lambda$ .

Clearly,  $M \subseteq H$ , clearly,  $0 \in M$  since,

$$T0 - 0 = \lambda 0$$

Hence  $M$  is non-empty. Let  $x, y \in M$  be arbitrary and  $\alpha, \beta$  any two scalars.

$$\therefore Tx = \lambda x, Ty = \lambda y \quad [ \because T \text{ is linear } ]$$

$$T(\alpha x + \beta y) = \alpha Tx + \beta Ty = \alpha \lambda x + \beta \lambda y \\ = \lambda(\alpha x + \beta y) \therefore \alpha x + \beta y \in M.$$

Hence,  $M$  is linear subspaces of  $H$ .

Finally  $M = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}(0)$ . Hence,  $M$  is kernel

$T = \lambda I$ , therefore,  $M$  is closed.

NOTE: -  $M$  is said to be invariant under  $T$  since

$$Tx = \lambda x \Rightarrow \lambda x \in M \text{ if } x \in M.$$

v.v.gmp  
M.V.

M.Sc. 93, 95

QNo.  $\Rightarrow$  Define Spectrum. If  $T$  is an arbitrary operator on a finite dimensional non-zero Hilbert space  $H$ .  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  are distinct eigenvalues of  $T$  and  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m$  are their corresponding eigen spaces, ~~then~~ and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m$  are Projections on these eigen spaces. Then for the statements.

- (i) The  $M_i$ 's are pairwise orthogonal and span  $H$ .
- (ii) The  $P_i$ 's are pairwise orthogonal.

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i \text{ and } T = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P_i.$$

(iii)  $T$  is a normal operator

Prove (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

M.V.  
M.Sc. 95

M.V. 94  
S. Paper

QNo.  $\Rightarrow$  State and Prove Spectral theorem for normal operators on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space.

QNo.  $\Rightarrow$  (Spectral theorem): The following statements are equivalent to one another

(i) the eigenspaces  $M_i$ 's are pairwise orthogonal and span  $H$ , i.e.

$$H = M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus M_3 \oplus \dots \oplus M_m$$

(ii) The Projection  $P_i$ 's are Pairwise orthogonal and

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i \quad \text{and} \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P_i.$$

(iii)  $T$  is normal.

NOTE:- ऊपर वाला Q.N. में सिर्फ Proof देना है, तथा नीचे वाले में Statement of

Ans. → (Defn.) Spectrum: - The set of all eigenvalues of an operator  $T$  on  $H$  is called the spectrum of  $T$  and is denoted by  $\sigma(T)$ .

Statement: - The following statements are equivalent to one another.

(i) The  $M_i$ 's are Pairwise orthogonal and span  $H$ .

(ii) The  $P_i$ 's are Pairwise orthogonal,

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i \quad \text{and} \quad T = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P_i.$$

(iii)  $T$  is a normal operator.

Proof: - (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). We assume (i). Then every vector  $x$  in  $H$  can be expressed uniquely in the form,

$$x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

Where,  $x_i \in M_i$  and  $x_i \perp x_j$  as  $i \neq j$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore, } T x &= T(x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m) \\ &= T x_1 + T x_2 + \dots + T x_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since,  $x_i \in M_i$ ,  $T x_i = \lambda_i x_i$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, m$ ).

$$\therefore T x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_m x_m \quad \text{--- (2)}$$

Since,  $M_i$ 's are Pairwise orthogonal, we have

$$M_j \subseteq M_i^\perp, \quad i \neq j$$

$P_i x = x_i$ . Hence from (1), we have

$$P_i x = x_i.$$

Therefore,  $I x = x = x_1 + x_2 + \dots + x_m$

$$= P_1 x + P_2 x + \dots + P_m x$$

$$= (P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m) x \quad \forall x \in H$$

$$\therefore I = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m = \sum_{i=1}^m P_i.$$

Hence, from (2), we have

$$T x = \lambda_1 x_1 + \lambda_2 x_2 + \dots + \lambda_m x_m$$

$$= \lambda_1 P_1 x + \lambda_2 P_2 x + \dots + \lambda_m P_m x$$

$$= (\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m) x \quad \forall x \in H.$$

$$\therefore T = \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i P_i$$

Therefore, (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

We should now, prove that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

Let  $I = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m$  &  $T = \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m$

$$\therefore T^* = (\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m)^*$$

$$= (\lambda_1 P_1)^* + (\lambda_2 P_2)^* + \dots + (\lambda_m P_m)^*$$

$$= \bar{\lambda}_1 P_1^* + \bar{\lambda}_2 P_2^* + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m P_m^*$$

$$= \bar{\lambda}_1 P_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 P_2 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m P_m.$$

$$\text{Now } T T^* = (\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m) (\bar{\lambda}_1 P_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 P_2 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m P_m)$$

$$= |\lambda_1|^2 P_1^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 P_2^2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2 P_m^2$$

$$= \lambda_1 \bar{\lambda}_1 P_1^2 + \lambda_2 \bar{\lambda}_2 P_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_m \bar{\lambda}_m P_m^2$$

$$= |\lambda_1|^2 P_1^2 + |\lambda_2|^2 P_2^2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2 P_m^2.$$

$$= |\lambda_1|^2 P_1 + |\lambda_2|^2 P_2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2 P_m \quad [ \because P_i P_j = 0 \text{ for } i \neq j ]$$

$$\text{Similarly, } T^* T = |\lambda_1|^2 P_1 + |\lambda_2|^2 P_2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2 P_m.$$

$$\therefore T T^* = T^* T.$$

Therefore,  $T$  is a normal operator,

Thus (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)

Thus, we have Proved,

$$(i) \Rightarrow (ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$$

~~Rem~~ An arbitrary operator  $T$  on  $H$  need not have an eigenvalue.

For Consider the operator  $T$  on  $\mathbb{C}_2$  defined by  
 $T(x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, x_1, x_2, \dots)$ .

Consider the equation  $Tx = \lambda x$

$$\text{i.e. } (0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = \lambda(x_1, x_2, \dots) \quad \text{--- (1)}$$

where  $x_2 \neq 0$ . For  $\lambda = 0$ , (1) is not satisfied.

In case  $\lambda \neq 0$ , then  $\lambda x_1 = 0$  and so  $x_1 = 0$ . Also

$\lambda x_2 = x_1 = 0$ ,  $\therefore x_2 = 0$  which is a contradiction.

Thus no scalar  $\lambda$  can satisfy (1) for some non-zero  $x$ . Thus the above operator  $T$  on  $\mathbb{C}_2$  does not have any eigenvalue.

M.V.C. 92  
 M.V.C. 92  
 Q6, 92

Let  $T$  be a normal operator on a finite-dimensional non-zero Hilbert space  $H$  with spectrum  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m\}$ . Using the spectral resolution of  $T$  Prove the following statements:-

- (i)  $T$  is self-adjoint  $\Leftrightarrow$  each  $\lambda_i$  is real.
- (ii)  $T$  is Positive  $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_i \geq 0$  for each  $i$ .
- (iii)  $T$  is unitary  $\Leftrightarrow |\lambda_i| = 1$  for each  $i$ .

M.V.C. 94 Q6, Q10 Let  $T$  be a normal operator on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space having the spectrum  $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m\}$  then Prove that

- (i)  $T$  is self-adjoint  $\Leftrightarrow$  each  $\lambda_i$  is real
- (ii)  $T$  is unitary  $\Leftrightarrow |\lambda_i| = 1$  for each  $i$ .

Soln Let  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m$  be the eigenspaces of  $T$  corresponding to eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$  and  $P_1, P_2, \dots, P_m$  be the projections on  $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_m$  respectively then the normal operator  $T$  has a spectral resolution,

$$T = \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m.$$

$$\therefore T^* = \bar{\lambda}_1 P_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 P_2 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m P_m$$

(i)  $T$  is self-adjoint  $\Leftrightarrow T = T^*$

$$\Leftrightarrow \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m$$

$$= \bar{\lambda}_1 P_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 P_2 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m P_m$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1) P_1 + (\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2) P_2 + \dots + (\lambda_m - \bar{\lambda}_m) P_m = 0$$

①

Clearly, if each  $\lambda_i$  is real then  $\lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}_i$  for each  $i$ . Hence (1) is satisfied, therefore,  $T$  is self-adjoint.

Now, since  $P_i$ 's are pairwise orthogonal, if  $T$  is self-adjoint then since

$$(\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)P_1 + (\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)P_2 + \dots + (\lambda_m - \bar{\lambda}_m)P_m = 0,$$

we have

$$P_i \{ (\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)P_1 + (\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)P_2 + \dots + (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}_i)P_i + \dots + (\lambda_m - \bar{\lambda}_m)P_m \} \\ = P_i \cdot 0 = 0.$$

$$\therefore (\lambda_1 - \bar{\lambda}_1)P_i P_1 + (\lambda_2 - \bar{\lambda}_2)P_i P_2 + \dots + (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}_i)P_i + \dots \\ + (\lambda_m - \bar{\lambda}_m)P_i P_m = 0$$

But,  $P_i P_j = 0$  for  $i \neq j$ .  $\therefore P_i P_1 = P_i P_2 = \dots, P_i P_m = 0$ .

$$\therefore (\lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}_i)P_i = 0 \therefore \lambda_i - \bar{\lambda}_i = 0 \text{ or } \lambda_i = \bar{\lambda}_i.$$

Therefore, each  $\lambda_i$  is real. Thus if  $T$  is self-adjoint, each  $\lambda_i$  is real. i.e.  $T$  is self-adjoint  $\Leftrightarrow$  each  $\lambda_i$  is real.

(ii) For  $x \in H$ ,  $(Tx, x) = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \|P_i x\|^2$  — (2)

If  $T$  is a +ve operator then  $(Tx, x) \geq 0 \forall x \in H$ .

$$\therefore \text{from (2)} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i \|P_i x\|^2 \geq 0, \forall x \in H.$$

Now, for any fixed  $i$ , let  $x \in \text{range of } P_i$  then  $P_i x = x$  &  $P_j x = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ .

$$\therefore \lambda_i \|x\|^2 \therefore \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for each } i.$$

Conversely, if each  $\lambda_i \geq 0$ , then each  $\lambda_i$  is real.

Hence by (i)  $T$  is self-adjoint.

Also  $\|P_i x\|^2 \geq 0 \therefore (Tx, x) \geq 0 \therefore T$  is positive. i.e.  $T$  is positive  $\Leftrightarrow \lambda_i \geq 0$  for each  $i$ .

$$(iii) T = \lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m.$$

$$T \text{ unitary} \Leftrightarrow T T^* = T^* T = I.$$

$$\text{Now, } T^* T = (\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m) (\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m)^*.$$

$$= (\lambda_1 P_1 + \lambda_2 P_2 + \dots + \lambda_m P_m) (\bar{\lambda}_1 P_1 + \bar{\lambda}_2 P_2 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_m P_m)$$

$$= |\lambda_1|^2 P_1 + |\lambda_2|^2 P_2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2 P_m.$$

if  $|\lambda_i| = 1$  for each  $i$ , then  $T T^* = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m = I$ .

Similarly  $T^* T = P_1 + P_2 + \dots + P_m = I$ .

Thus,  $T T^* = T^* T = I$ . Hence  $T$  is unitary.

Conversely, if  $T$  is unitary then  $T T^* = T^* T = I$ .

$$\text{So, } |\lambda_1|^2 P_1 + |\lambda_2|^2 P_2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2 P_m = I$$

$$\therefore P_i (|\lambda_1|^2 P_1 + |\lambda_2|^2 P_2 + \dots + |\lambda_i|^2 P_i^2 + \dots + |\lambda_m|^2 P_i P_m) = P_i.$$

$$\therefore |\lambda_i|^2 P_i = P_i \quad [\because P_i^2 = P_i]$$

$$(|\lambda_i|^2 - 1) P_i = 0 \text{ for each } i.$$

Since  $P_i \neq 0$ , so  $|\lambda_i|^2 = 1 = 0$  or,  $|\lambda_i| = 1$  for each  $i$ .

i.e.  $T$  is unitary  $\Leftrightarrow |\lambda_i| = 1$  for each  $i$ .